

REMARKS

The Examiner objected to the title as being not descriptive. The Office Action states that "a new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed." The previous Office Action mailed on July 8, 2005 also objected to the title "VARIABLE WIDTH INSTRUCTION ALIGNMENT ENGINE" for not being descriptive.

It appears that the Examiner may have overlooked the Applicant's amendment of the title in the previous reply to include further descriptive language: "ALIGNING INSTRUCTIONS USING A VARIABLE WIDTH INSTRUCTION ALIGNMENT ENGINE."

If, however, the Examiner still objects to the title as previously amended, Applicant respectfully submits that the title complies with the requirement set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but notes that the examiner is free to change the title.

The Examiner objected to claim 21 for informalities. Claim 21 has been amended to correct the informalities.

Claims 1, 4 – 8, 19 – 21, 24, 25, and 27 were indicated as allowed in the Office Action. Claims 28 – 31 have been canceled, without prejudice. Accordingly, the application should now be in condition for allowance.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Applicant : Ravi P. Singh et al.
Serial No. : 09/675,817
Filed : September 28, 2000
Page : 7 of 7

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-284001 / P9291 - ADI
APD1803-1-US

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1-25-06



Elliott J. Mason, III
Reg. No. 56,569

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

21237749.doc